GOOD MORNING, HONORABLE SENATORS OF THE 33RD LEGISLATURE. MY NAME IS SAMUEL RYMER, PROPERTY MANAGER OF THE MORAVIAN CHURCH VI CONFERENCE. AND WITH ME IS THE SUPERINTENDENT OF THE MORAVIAN CHURCH VI CONFERENCE, THE REVEREND EULENCINE CHRISTOPHER: ALONG WITH ATTORNEY MARK HODGE OF THE LAW OFFICES OF HODGE & HODGE. WE REPRESENT THE MORAVIAN CHURCH VI CONFERENCE AND WE THANK THE SENATE PRESIDENT, NOVELLE FRANCIS, FOR HIS INVITATION TO APPEAR TO PRESENT TESTIMONY THIS MORNING TO THE LEGISLATURE, AS YOU CONSIDER THE REQUEST OF THE SUMMERS END GROUP, LLC FOR A PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT A MARINA IN WATERS OF CORAL BAY.

THE MORAVIAN CHURCH VI CONFERENCE HAS OBJECTED TO A DECISION OF CZM TO GRANT A PERMIT TO THE GROUP NAMED SUMMERS END, TO CONSTRUCT A MARINA AND OTHER STRUCTURES IN CORAL BAY, BECAUSE THE SUMMERS END PROJECT WOULD BOTH

SUBSTANTIALLY DAMAGE THE COASTAL ZONE ENVIRONMENT, AND DEPRIVE THE MORAVIAN CHURCH OF ITS RIGHTS, AS AN HISTORIC OWNER OF SHORELINE PROPERTY ABUTTING CORAL BAY, INCLUDING THE RIGHT TO FULL AND EQUITABLE USE OF ITS LAND AS A SITE FOR A LONG-PLANNED MARINA. THE MORAVIAN CHURCH VI CONFERENCE OBJECTED TO THIS PERMIT AND CURRENTLY HAS PENDING BEFORE THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS, A LEGAL ACTION CHALLENGING THE COMMISSION'S DECISION TO APPROVE THE PERMIT. WE HAVE SUBMITTED TO YOU A COPY OF OUR PETITION TO THE COURT AND OUR BRIEFS IN THE MATTER, WHICH IS CURRENTLY PENDING BEFORE THE SUPERIOR COURT. THAT COURT CHALLENGE PRESENTS A SERIES OF IMPORTANT ISSUES, NOT ONLY FOR THE MORAVIAN CHURCH AND THE CORAL BAY COMMUNITY, BUT FOR THE VIRGIN ISLANDS AS A WHOLE. WE RESPECTFULLY SUBMIT THAT IT WOULD BE UNFAIR AND IMPROPER FOR THIS LEGISLATURE TO

PROCEED TO APPROVE THIS PERMIT APPLICATION NOW, WITHOUT AWAITING THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR LEGAL CONTENTIONS. IN FACT, IF THAT WERE TO OCCUR, AND CONSTRUCTION WERE TO BEGIN, FOLLOWED BY A RULING IN FAVOR OF THE MORAVIAN CONFERENCE BY THE COURT. WE MIGHT FACE A SCENE OF PARTIAL CONSTRUCTION IN THIS IMPORTANT BAY. LEAVING BOTH ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE AND AN EYESORE FOR THE COMMUNITY. WE HEREBY APPEAL THAT THE LEGISLATURE SHOULD AWAIT A DECISION BY THE COURT ON THIS PENDING MATTER, WHICH RAISES SUCH IMPORTANT LEGAL ISSUES, BEFORE PROCEEDING TO CONSIDER THE PERMIT APPLICATION.

WHILE WE ARE SUBMITTING TO YOU TODAY COPIES OF OUR BRIEFS, FILED WITH THE BOARD OF LAND USE APPEALS AND THE SUPERIOR COURT, TO FULLY DETAIL OUR LEGAL OBJECTIONS TO THIS PERMIT, WE WANT TO TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY YOU HAVE GIVEN US TO TESTIFY THIS MORNING TO HIGHLIGHT SOME OF THE MOST COMPELLING ISSUES THAT WOULD AFFECT THE MORAVIAN CONFERENCE.

FIRST, AS WE HAVE MENTIONED. THE MORAVIAN CHURCH VI CONFERENCE OWNS PROPERTY ABUTTING CORAL BAY AND VERY CLOSE TO THE SITE OF THE PROPOSED SUMMERS END MARINA, WHICH WOULD BE A VAST COMPLEX OF LAND AND WATER BASED STRUCTURES. UNFORTUNATELY, WHILE WE PRESENTED OUR CONCERNS AND OBJECTIONS TO THE SUMMERS END PLANS TO CZM. THE ST. JOHN COMMITTEE DID NOT GIVE ANY SERIOUS CONSIDERATION TO OUR PRESENTATION. IN FACT, THE COMMITTEE, FAILED TO EVEN ADDRESS THE LITTORAL **RIGHTS OF NEIGHBORING PROPERTY OWNERS. SUCH AS THE** MORAVIAN CHURCH VI CONFERENCE, ALTHOUGH THOSE **RIGHTS IMPLICATE MULTIPLE GOALS AND PRINCIPLES** ADOPTED FOR THE U.S. VIRGIN ISLANDS COASTAL ZONE IN THE CZM ACT. (Such as12 V.I.C. §§ 903(B)(4)-(6) AND (8).

THOUGH THE MAPS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SUBMITTED BY SUMMERS END CLEARLY STRETCHED OUT TO CONSUME THE VAST MAJORITY OF THE ENTIRE AREA OF NAVIGABLE WATER IN CORAL BAY AND THOUGH MULTIPLE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND OWNERS OF LITTORAL LAND IMPACTED BY THE DEVELOPMENT OF SUCH A MASSIVE MARINA TESTIFIED TO THE EXCESSIVE SIZE OF THE PROPOSED MARINA AND ITS ENCROACHMENT UPON THE LITTORAL RIGHTS OF NEIGHBORING PROPERTY OWNERS, CZM DID NOTHING TO ADDRESS THIS CRITICAL CONCERN IN ITS DECISION TO APPROVE THE PERMIT.

AT THE PUBLIC HEARING, AND IN WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS, WE PRESENTED OUR STRONG OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED MASSIVE MARINA, BECAUSE IT WAS SITED AND DESIGNED TO EFFECTIVELY CONSUME ALL AVAILABLE MARINA CAPACITY AND MORE, WHEN IT WAS WELL KNOWN THAT THE MORAVIAN CHURCH CONFERENCE HAD LONG BEEN PLANNING A MARINA DEVELOPMENT ON ITS PROPERTY, DIRECTLY ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE OF THE BAY. THE MORAVIAN CHURCH VI CONFERENCE OWNS PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE MORE PROTECTED NORTHEAST AREA OF CORAL BAY HARBOR, ALONG THE SOUTH SIDE OF ROUTE 10. THE MORAVIAN CHURCH CONFERENCE AND ITS TENANT HAD BEEN WORKING FOR SOME TIME ON THE DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF A MARINA ON THE MORAVIAN CHURCH CONFERENCE'S PROPERTY, AND HAD ALREADY CONDUCTED PRE-FILING MEETINGS WITH CZM.

THE CLEAR IMPACT OF THE SUMMERS END MARINA, IF ALLOWED TO PROCEED AT ITS PROPOSED SIZE AND SCALE, WILL BE THE DESTRUCTION OF THE MORAVIAN CONFERENCES' RIGHT TO PROCEED WITH ITS OWN MARINA PLANS. THIS WAS DOCUMENTED NOT ONLY IN TESTIMONY, BUT IN A GRAPHIC PRESENTED BY THE MORAVIAN CONFERENCE SHOWING THE OVERLAP OF THE APPLICANT'S MARINA WHICH EXTENDED OVER AND INTO THE VERY AREA WHERE THE MORAVIAN CONFERENCE'S MARINA WOULD BE LOCATED, EFFECTIVELY LEAVING NO SPACE FOR THE MORAVIAN CONFERENCE'S PLANS. SPECIFICALLY, THE MORAVIAN CHURCH VI CONFERENCE SUBMITTED TO CZM LEGAL AUTHORITY ON ITS RIGHTS AS A NEARBY WATERFRONT PROPERTY OWNER. IT DEMONSTRATED THAT SIZE AND SCOPE OF THE MARINA DEVELOPMENT PROPOSED BY SUMMERS END WOULD INTERFERE WITH THE RIGHTS OF THE MORAVIAN CHURCH VI CONFERENCE AND, ITS TENANT TO ACCESS, AND WHARF OUT OVER, THE WATER ADJOINING THE MORVAVIAN CONFERENCE LAND. IN OUR SUBMISSION TO CZM ON THIS OBJECTION, WE SAID, IN PART:

> AS THE OWNER OF LITTORAL LAND, THE MORAVIAN CHURCH "HAS THE RIGHT AT COMMON LAW TO ERECT PIERS AND DOCKS ON THE SUBMERGED PUBLIC LAND BEYOND THE WATER LINE AND TO WHARF OUT OVER IT, SUBJECT TO GOVERNMENT REGULATION AND CONTROL AND WITH DUE REGARD TO THE RIGHTS OF THE PUBLIC AND ADJOINING LAND OWNERS." *BURNS*, 412 F.2D AT 998 (CITATIONS OMITTED). THE RIGHT OF A LITTORAL OWNER TO ACCESS WATERS ADJACENT TO ITS LAND "IS NOT LIGHTLY TO BE DEPRIVED."

ID. DEVELOPMENT OF THE ST. JOHN MARINA BY SUMMER'S END. IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROPOSAL, WOULD DEPRIVE CURRENT THE MORAVIAN CHURCH OF ITS LITTORAL RIGHTS BY UNREASONABLY RESTRICTING THE MORAVIAN CHURCH'S ABILITY TO ACCESS THE WATER ADJACENT TO ITS LAND AND TO WHARF OUT OVER IT. SEE, E.G., NEW JERSEY V. DELAWARE, 552 U.S. 597, 612, 128 S. CT. 1410, 1421, 170 L. ED. 2D 315 (2008) ("A RIPARIAN LANDOWNER ORDINARILY ENJOYS THE **RIGHT TO BUILD A WHARF TO ACCESS NAVIGABLE** WATERS FAR ENOUGH TO PERMIT THE LOADING OF SHIPS."), CITING 1 H. AND UNLOADING FARNHAM, LAW OF WATERS AND WATER RIGHTS § 62, P. 279 (1904) ("THE RIPARIAN OWNER IS ALSO ENTITLED TO HAVE HIS CONTACT WITH THE WATER REMAIN INTACT. THIS IS WHAT IS KNOWN AS THE RIGHT OF ACCESS, AND INCLUDES THE RIGHT TO ERECT WHARVES TO REACH THE NAVIGABLE PORTION OF THE STREAM."); ID., § 111, P. 520 ("A WHARF IS A STRUCTURE ON THE MARGIN OF NAVIGABLE WATER, ALONGSIDE OF WHICH VESSELS ARE BROUGHT FOR THE SAKE OF BEING CONVENIENTLY LOADED OR UNLOADED.").

SIZE OF FURTHERMORE. THE THE MARINA PROPOSED BY SUMMER'S END MUST BE CONTROLLED SUCH SUFFICIENTLY THAT Α CHANNEL EXISTS FOR THE NAVIGATION OF VESSELS BETWEEN THE PROPOSED SUMMER'S END AND THE MARINA DEVELOPMENT MARINA PLANNED BY THE MORAVIAN CHURCH AND T-REX. UNITED STATES V. WILLOW RIVER POWER CO., 324 U.S. 499, 504-05, 65 S. CT. 761, 765, 89 L. ED. 1101 (1945) ("THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLE OF THIS SYSTEM IS THAT EACH RIPARIAN PROPRIETOR HAS AN EQUAL RIGHT TO MAKE A REASONABLE USE OF THE WATERS OF THE STREAM, SUBJECT TO THE EQUAL RIGHT OF THE OTHER RIPARIAN PROPRIETORS LIKEWISE TO MAKE A REASONABLE USE.") (INTERNAL CITATION AND QUOTATION MARKS OMITTED).

MOST TROUBLING OF ALL. IF THIS LEGISLATURE APPROVES THE SUMMERS END PERMIT APPLICATION. PARTICULARLY WITHOUT AWAITING THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR PENDING OBJECTIONS, YOU WILL BE AUTHORIZING THIS GROUP TO EFFECTIVELY WIPE OUT THE MORAVIAN CONFERENCE'S RIGHTS AS A SHORELINE PROPERTY OWNER. WE INVITE YOU TO REVIEW THE DETAILED DISCUSSION OF THIS ISSUE IN OUR BRIEFS, BUT THIS MORNING, WE ASK THAT YOU DECLINE TO APPROVE THIS PERMIT. AS THE APPLICATION SEEKS TO UNDERTAKE CONSTRUCTION THAT WOULD BE DETRIMENTAL TO CORAL BAY AND HIGHLY DAMAGING TO THE MORAVIAN CHURCH VI CONFERENCE.

THE MORAVIAN CONFERENCE AND ITS TENANT ALSO HAD AN EXPERIENCED ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT, WILLIAM MCCOMB, REVIEW THE SUMMERS END ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REPORT, AND HE BOTH APPEARED TO TESTIFY AT THE PUBLIC HEARING AND SUBMITTED TIMELY AND DETAILED OBSERVATIONS ON THE DEFECTS IN THE APPLICATION UNDER THE CZM LAW. CZM DID NOT REQUIRE THE APPLICANT TO RESPOND TO THESE IMPORTANT ISSUES, NOR DID IT MAKE FINDINGS ADEQUATE TO SUPPORT A DECISION TO DISMISS THEM OUT OF HAND. A COPY OF MR. MCCOMB'S TESTIMONY IS ALSO SUBMITTED FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

PERHAPS THIS FAILURE TO CONSIDER THE EVIDENCE WAS A RESULT OF A CONFLICT OF INTEREST. SPECIFICALLY, THE CZM HEARING WAS CONDUCTED WITH A CONFLICT OF INTEREST UNDERMINING ITS VALIDITY FROM THE OUTSET, AS ONE OF THE THREE COMMITTEE MEMBERS WAS AN ATTORNEY WHO REPRESENTED AN INTERESTED PARTY IN SUMMERS END, AND WHILE HE DISCLOSED HIS CONFLICT, AND DID NOT VOTE ON THE

PERMIT, THE COMMITTEE ELECTED TO COUNT HIM AS A PARTICIPATING MEMBER, IN PRESENT. ORDER TO ESTABLISH A QUORUM. HIS CONFLICT OF INTEREST IN QUORUM CONTAMINATED CREATING THAT THE PROCEEDINGS FROM THE OUTSET. IT MAY ALSO EXPLAIN THE RULING OF THE COMMITTEE THAT DISREGARDED THE OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE AGAINST THE PROJECT AND FAILED TO REQUIRE SUMMERS END TO MEET THE ACTUAL REQUIREMENTS OF THE CZM ACT, DETAILED IN OUR BRIEFS WHICH WE ARE SUBMITTED TO THE LEGISLATURE TODAY AS EXHIBITS.

WE ALSO ASK THE LEGISLATURE TO CONSIDER THE SERIOUS PROBLEMS ARISING FROM THE SPECIFIC LOCATION OF THIS PROPOSED MARINA IN CORAL BAY. MANY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC SUBMITTED LETTERS EMPHASIZING THE EXPOSED NATURE OF THE PROPOSED MARINA LOCATION, INCLUDING MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WITH CLEARLY EXTENSIVE NAUTICAL EXPERIENCE. ONE

COMMENTER HAD CIRCUMNAVIGATED THE WORLD ON A SAILING VESSEL. ANOTHER WAS LICENSED TO OPERATE 50 TON SEAGOING VESSELS. ONE COMMENTER SUBMITTED PHOTOGRAPHS OF ANOTHER MARINA ON ST. THOMAS. LOCATED IN A PARTICULARLY EXPOSED AREA, WHICH HAS APPARENTLY BEEN DESTROYED AND REPAIRED SO MANY TIMES AFTER STORMS THAT IT WAS FINALLY LEFT TO DISINTEGRATE – AN EYESORE FOR THE PUBLIC. WHILE THOSE PEOPLE DESCRIBED THE PROPOSED LOCATION AS PARTICULARLY UNSAFE AND PARTICULARLY EXPOSED TO THE ELEMENTS IN A STORM AND DESCRIBED THE SIZE OF THE PROPOSED MARINA AS UNSAFE AND EXCESSIVE, CZM NOR BLUA HAD NEITHER А SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENTIARY BASIS FOR SIMPLY ACCEPTING SUMMERS END'S DISMISSIVE STATEMENT THAT NO MARINA CAN BE COMPLETELY PROTECTED IN A HIGH CATEGORY STORM AS SUFFICIENT TO DEEM THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT TO

HAVE JUSTIFIED A FINDING THAT NO FEASIBLE ALTERNATIVE EXISTS.

A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC SUBMITTING PHOTOGRAPHS OF VESSELS BEACHED IN THE LOCATION OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT MORE THAN JUSTIFIED FURTHER INQUIRY ON THE PART OF CZM. WHETHER ANY MARINA PLACED IN THAT LOCATION WOULD BE SAFE IN A HIGH CATEGORY HURRICANE IS IRRELEVANT. RATHER, THE QUESTION IS WHETHER THAT LOCATION ON THAT SIDE OF CORAL BAY IS AN APPROPRIATE LOCATION FOR A MARINA OF THAT SIZE AT ALL AND WHETHER THERE ALTERNATIVE ARE LOCATIONS THAT. DUE TO TOPOGRAPHY AND THE CUSTOMARY PATH OF WINDS DURING A HURRICANE, WOULD BE FAR BETTER PROTECTED THAN THE PROPOSED SITE. THE CHURCH HAS CONTENDED, AND WE SUBMIT TO YOU TODAY, THAT ITS PROPERTY, ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE OF CORAL BAY, IS SUCH A SITE. IT WOULD BE LEGALLY WRONG AND COMPLETELY CONTRARY TO THE BEST

INTERESTS OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS FOR THE LEGISLATURE TO APPROVE THE PERMIT TO BUILD THIS HUGE MARINA IN THIS UNSUITABLE LOCATION. ON THE EXPOSED SIDE OF CORAL BAY. IN THE IMMEDIATE AFTERMATH OF THE MEETING AT WHICH 2 OF THE 3 MEMBERS OF CZM VOTED TO APPROVE THE PERMIT, WITHOUT ANY MODIFICATIONS -APPARENTLY AN UNPRECEDENTED ACTION – ONE OF THE TWO MEMBERS EXPLAINED HIS VOTE TO THE PRESS BY STATING THAT HE WAS "KEEPING HIS FINGERS CROSSED AND HOPING IT WORKS OUT FOR THE BEST." (ST. THOMAS SOURCE, OCTOBER 1, 2014.) WE HOPE THE LEGISLATURE WILL NOT ACT ON THIS PERMIT BASED ON CROSSING ITS FINGERS AND HOPING IT WORKS OUT. TOO MUCH IS AT STAKE.

ANOTHER IMPORTANT FACTOR IN ANY MAJOR DEVELOPMENT FOR PURPOSES OF DETERMINING WHETHER THE DEVELOPMENT SATISFIES THE GOALS AND POLICIES OF THE CZM ACT, IS THE QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT THE

DEVELOPMENT WILL ACTUALLY BE COMPLETED AS PLANNED OR WILL FAIL TO BE COMPLETED, RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL DAMAGE TO THE ENVIRONMENT. AN EYESORE FOR THE PUBLIC, AND DAMAGE TO THE COMMUNITY WITH NO REDEEMING COMMERCIAL OR PUBLIC INTEREST PURPOSE - A "BRIDGE TO NOWHERE" WITH HORRIBLE CONSEQUENCES FOR THE COASTAL ZONE OF THE U.S. VIRGIN ISLANDS. IT IS THUS PARTICULARLY SHOCKING THAT CZM AND BLUA DISREGARDED THE WARNINGS OF NUMEROUS MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. WHO QUESTIONED THE APPLICANT'S ABILITY TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED - THE APPLICANT'S FINANCIAL WHEREWITHAL TO SEE TO COMPLETION THIS MASSIVE PROPOSED MARINA. ONE MEMBER OF THE COMMITTEE QUESTIONED THE APPLICANT AT THE PUBLIC HEARING AS TO WHETHER THE APPLICANT POSSESSED SUFFICIENT FINANCING TO COMPLETE THE DEVELOPMENT, TO WHICH THE APPLICANT PURPORTEDLY RESPONDED, SIMPLY "YES."

APPARENTLY SATISFIED WITH THIS NON-EVIDENCE OF THE SUFFICIENCY OF THE APPLICANT'S FINANCING, CZM ASKED NO FURTHER QUESTIONS OF THE APPLICANT ON THE SUBJECT. THIS REFUSAL TO SERIOUSLY QUESTION THE APPLICANT'S FINANCIAL CAPACITY TO COMPLETE CONSTRUCTION WAS PAINFULLY OBVIOUS AS CZM WAS ALREADY IN POSSESSION OF AN AUGUST 11. 2014 LETTER FROM SUMMER'S END'S PRIMARY DEVELOPER THAT "REVOKED" SUMMER'S END'S RIGHT TO USE THE VERY PLANS ON WHICH THE ENTIRE PERMIT APPLICATION WAS BASED DUE TO SUMMER'S END'S FAILURE TO PAY THE PRIMARY MARINA DEVELOPER \$51,803.87 FOR THEIR WORK. EVEN IGNORING THIS OBVIOUS EVIDENCE OF A LACK OF FINANCIAL WHEREWITHALL TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT, THAT AUGUST 11, 2014 LETTER (AND THE CORRESPONDENCE THAT FOLLOWED) REVEALED THE POTENTIAL FOR LITIGATION BETWEEN SUMMER'S END AND ITS PRIMARY DEVELOPER REGARDING THE USE OF THE PLANS. WHICH

CLEARLY RAISED THE SPECTER OF COURT ORDERED INJUNCTIONS POTENTIALLY STOPPING ANY DEVELOPMENT IN A PARTIALLY COMPLETED STATE IF CZM WERE TO PERMIT THE PROJECT TO GO FORWARD WITH CONTESTED PLANS AGAINST THE WISHES OF SUMMER'S END GROUP'S PRIMARY DEVELOPER, WHICH WAS CLAIMING EXCLUSIVE FOR OWNERSHIP. GIVEN THE MASSIVE COST THE DEVELOPMENT IDENTIFIED BY THE APPLICANT ITSELF, THIS WAS INEXCUSABLE. WE SUBMIT THERE IS NO BASIS FOR THE LEGISLATURE TO CONCLUDE THAT THIS APPLICANT ACTUALLY HAS EXISTING FINANCING FOR WHAT IT CLAIMS IS A \$35 MILLION PROJECT IF IT COULD NOT PAY JUST \$52K FOR THE PLANS UPON WHICH THE ENTIRE PROJECT RELIED.

FURTHER, THE ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS HAS NOT APPROVED THIS PROJECT, AND THE FEDERAL AGENCY THAT AT ONE POINT WAS PREPARED TO MAKE A GRANT OF \$300,000, ANNOUNCED IT WAS PULLING THAT GRANT FUNDING IN MARCH, 2015. (DAILY NEWS, 3/31/2015).

IN SUMMARY, WE ASK THAT YOU CONSIDER OUR **OBJECTIONS TO THIS PERMIT, INCLUDING THE POINTS MADE** IN OUR LEGAL BRIEFS IN THE PENDING JUDICIAL REVIEW. AND THAT YOU DENY THE REQUEST TO APPROVE THIS PERMIT, BECAUSE IT IS AT BEST PREMATURE, WHILE THE COURT CHALLENGE IS PENDING, AND BECAUSE IT WOULD BE HARMFUL TO THE VIRGIN ISLANDS AND TO THE MORAVIAN CHURCH VI CONFERENCE. WE HOPE THE CONCERNS OF OUR CONFERENCE, AS AN IMPORTANT MEMBER OF THE CORAL BAY COMMUNITY, ARE GIVEN DUE CONSIDERATION BY THIS BODY. IF YOU HAVE ANY **QUESTIONS CONCERNING THE LEGAL ISSUES I HAVE** MENTIONED IN THIS TESTIMONY, OUR ATTORNEY IS PRESENT TO RESPOND. THANK YOU AGAIN FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD ON THIS MATTER.